

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Renold J. Russie 279.236US2 9314 10/646,476 08/22/2003 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/22/2004 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P. A. MANUEL, GEORGE C P.O. Box 2938 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Minneapolis, MN 55402 3762

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/646,476	RUSSIE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	George Manuel	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/26/2a)</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s).(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04.     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal F  6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 9-13, 19 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spinelli et al '712.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bolz et al '611.

Bolz et al disclose lowering a stimulation threshold after a specified number of stimulation pulses and raising the stimulation threshold immediately following a loss of capture. Amplifier 11 senses an evoked potential 3. The examiner is interpreting control switch 17 to comprise a switching circuit and the alignment operation to comprise a capture verification test. The amplitude control stage 5 may be lowered or raised and a corresponding pacing pulse energy may be lowered or raised depending on whether capture was present or absent, respectively.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 9, 12-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolz et al '611 in view of Spinelli et al '712.

Bolz et al show all of the claimed features except for biventricular pacing.

Spinelli et al teach cardiac output can be increased by improving the synchronization of right and left ventricular contractions with biventricular pacing.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the evoked potential pacing and sensing of Bolz et al with biventricular pacing and sensing because the Spinelli et al reference teaches this improves cardiac output and Spinelli et al teach a similar pulse energy relationship to capture detection and pulse energy adjustment.

Regarding claims 9 and 20, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the telemetry interface 80 of Spinellie et al with the pacemaker device incorporating the teachings of Bolz et al because Spinelli et al teach the telemetry interface may be provided for communicating with an external programmer.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel imary Examiner Art Unit: 3762